Proposed Bill to abolish charges for nonresidential social care

Page 2: About you

postal address) (mandatory)

Please provide your name and other contact details. Please provide at least one means of contacting you (address, e-mail or telephone) - e-mail is our preferred option. (NB: you will be given the option shortly to specify whether it is your name or your organisation's name that is to be used, and you may also request anonymity or confidentiality for your response.)

Your name (mandatory)

Name of your organisation (if applicable - otherwise leave blank)

Pour Job Title (if applicable - otherwise leave blank)

Contact details (please provide at least one of: email, telephone number,

Please indicate below whether you are content for your response to be attributed to you by name (either your name or that of your organisation)

I am content for my response to be attributed to my organisation

Page 4: Your comments on the proposal

Q1: Do you support the principle that non-residential social care services should be available free at the point of delivery to those who have been assessed by a relevant professional as requiring them (as is the case within health care)?

Yes

Please explain your answer

Yes, people are really struggling to meet the costs of the charges and some people are not able to carry on receiving the service as they can't afford it.

Q2. Do you agree that legislation is a necessary and appropriate means of addressing the issues identified?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response

It is unfair at the moment that some councils take 75% upwards and others 24% of the so called 'disposable' income.

What do you think the advantages and disadvantages would be? Yes I think there should be consistency.	
Q4. Should all	social care related services be free at the point of delivery?
Yes	
	ed Yes, please explain your reasons. If you answered No, please explain which ld be excluded, and why. (Please refer to the services set out on page 7 of the
	ces are essential to everyday living. They are a necessity not a luxury to those who require
	the likely financial implications (if any) of the proposed Bill to you or your organisation?
	t I struggle greatly financially, and if I was no longer being penalised for my disability, it e less of a struggle.
	ou think the implications of the proposed Bill are for equality? If it is likely to have a lative implication, how might this be minimised or avoided?
Positive	
be minimised	n your answer. If you answered Negative, please suggest any ways this impact could or avoided. I am penalised for having a disability, hopefully this bill would address that inequality.
Q7. Are there a	any other comments you would wish to make that are relevant to this proposal?
No Response	